UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,547	09/19/2006	Scott Joseph Duggan	PU040078	4470
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Thomson Licen	7590 04/10/200 sing LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 5312		LEE, MICHAEL		
Two Independence Way PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/593,547	DUGGAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Lee	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	own from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and accomposition and accomposition to the separate and accomposition are considered. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/593,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terai (JP0537741) in view of Stavich (2,799,890).

Regarding claim 1, Terai discloses a projection television showing a cabinet hinge (4 or 105), and a screen hinge (1a), except the arcuate slot and arcuate projection features regarding the respective hinges as claimed. Stavich, from the similar field of endeavor, teaches the claimed arcuate slot (30) and the claimed arcuate projection (33), which are known as the interlocking channel and hook, respectively. By employing these mechanisms, Stavich states that the sash frame can be installed readily without any tool or skill (note col. 3, lines 3-12) and a secure interlock is formed. Knowing that the interlocking mechanism in Terai is lesser secure, especially the one shown in Figure 6, and that the installation may require tools, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the its interlocking mechanism with the interlocking mechanism of Stavich so that the screen could be installed without tools while a secure interlocking connection could be formed.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, note Figure 2 in Stavich.

Regarding claim 4, the hooks 32 and 30 in Stavich meet the extrusion feature as claimed.

Regarding claim 5, the interlocking mechanisms are made of aluminum in Stavich.

Regarding claim 6, both Stavich and Terai show the claimed stops. Stavich shows the equivalent stops 26 and 27. And Terai shows the same stops 2 in Figure 3.

Regarding claims 7-12, see the corresponding rejections as set forth above.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shewchuk (4,852,213) shows an arcuate hinge.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/593,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622